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#### Abstract

A reaction of perfluoro-1-methylbenzocyclobutene with pentafluorobenzene in $\mathrm{SbF}_{5}$ medium followed by treating the reaction mixture with water gave rise to perfluoro-1-methyl-1-phenylbenzocyclobutene, perfluoro-1-methyl-2-phenylbenzocyclobutene, 2-hydroxyperfluoro-1-methyl-2-phenylbenzocyclobutene, and also to small amounts of 1-(2-trifluoromethyltetrafluorophenyl)-1-pentafluorophenyl-2,2,2trifluoroethane, and perfluoro-1-(2-methylphenyl)-1-phenylethylene. In a crystal of ( $E$ )-2-hydroxyperfluoro-1-methyl-2-phenylbenzocyclobutene for a dimer molecular pair a $\pi$-stacking interaction between pentafluorophenyl groups was found.


We investigated formerly the pentafluorophenylation of perfluorobenzocycloalkenes with pentafluorobenzene in $\mathrm{SbF}_{5}$ medium providing perfluoro-1-phenylbenzocycloalkenes [1]. In reactions of 1 -phenylindane and 1-arylbenzocyclobutenes with antimony pentafluoride cationoid skeleton rearrangements were observed in the series of polyfluoroarylbenzocycloalkenes [2].

In extension of the investigation of pentafluorophenylation of polyfluorobenzoalkenes, and also aiming at preparation of polyfluorobenzocyclobutenes containing a pentafluorophenyl group alongside the trifluoromethyl one we carried out a reaction between perfluoro-1-methylbenzocyclobutene and pentafluorobenzene in $\mathrm{SbF}_{5}$ medium followed by treating the reaction mixture first with anhydrous HF and then by water to obtain perfluoro-1-methyl-2-phenylbenzocyclobutene (II) ( $Z: E \sim 1: 3.5$ ), alongside with 2-hydroxyperfluoro-1-methyl-2-phenylbenzocyclobut-
ene (III) ( $Z: E \sim 1: 1.3$ ), perfluoro-1-methyl-1phenylbenzocyclobutene (IV), and 1-(2-trifluoro-methyltetrafluorophenyl)-1-pentafluorophenyl-2,2,2trifluoroethane (V). The hydrolysis of the reaction mixture without preliminary treating with anhydrous HF furnished mainly hydroxy derivative III ( $Z: E \sim 1$ : 1.3) alongside compounds II ( $Z: E \sim 1: 4$ ) and IV; also formed a little of diphenylethane $\mathbf{V}$ and per-fluoro-1-(2-methylphenyl)-1-phenylethylene (VI).

Pentafluorobenzene apparently enters into electrophilic substitution with compound I analogously to its reaction with perfluorobenzocycloalkenes [1].

Formation of 1-methyl-1-phenyl derivative IV alongside 1,2 -isomer II is consistent with published data [3].

As a result of four-membered ring opening effected by $\mathrm{SbF}_{5}$ from compound IV forms isomer VI with a double bond as it happens in reaction of perfluorin-


ated 1,1-dialkylbenzocyclobutenes with antimony pentafluoride [4]. The addition of HF to the double bond of compound VI furnishes diphenylethane $\mathbf{V}$.

Hydroxy derivative III stable against acids when treated with water solution of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ or passed

through a column charged with silica gel (at $\mathrm{pH} \geq 7$ ) was converted into 1-(1,2,2,2-tetrafluoroethyl)nonafluorobenzophenone (VII). The reaction apparently
takes the route similar to the haloform decomposition through an intermediate anion VIII arising by deprotonation of hydroxy compound III.

The composition and structure of compounds II-VII were derived from elemental analyses and spectral characteristics. The assignment of signals in ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra was performed basing on the chemical shifts, multiplicity, and integral intensity thereof (Table 1).

Compound $E$-III was subjected to X-ray diffraction study. The independent part of the cell contains two independent molecules $E$-IIIA and $E$-IIIB whose geometric parameters coincide within the error limits (see figure), but the fragment $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OH}$ is an exclusion with different C-O bond lengths [1.419(5) and 1.400(5) $\AA$ in $E$-IIIA and $E$-IIIB molecules respectively] and different orientation of the OH group [the torsion angle $\mathrm{C}^{8} \mathrm{C}^{7} \mathrm{O}^{6} \mathrm{H}^{6}$ is $41^{\circ}$ and $79^{\circ}$ in E-IIIA and $E$-B molecules respectively]. A special feature of this crystal structure is a $\pi$-stacking interaction between pentafluorophenyl groups in the dimer pair of molecules E-IIIA and $E$-IIIB connected by a


Structure of ( $E$ )-2-hydroxyperfluoro-1-methyl-2-phenylbenzocyclobutene ( $E$-III) (A and B molecules).

Table 1. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of compounds II-VII


| Compd no. | $\delta$, ppm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $J, \mathrm{~Hz}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{F}^{l}(\mathrm{H})$ | $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{5}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{6}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{3^{\prime} 5^{\prime}}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{4}$ | $J_{3,4}$ | $J_{3,5}$ | $J_{3,6}$ | $J_{4,5}$ | $J_{4,6}$ | $J_{5,6}$ |
| Z-II | $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \\ 86.4^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | 30.7 | 29.0 | 20.1 | 20.0 | 27.3 | $23.1{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2.2 | 14.7 | 18 | 8 | 23 | 18 | 7 | 18 |
| $E-\mathbf{I I}^{\text {c }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.8 \\ 86.8^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | 31.8 | 29.4 | 20.4 | 19.8 | 27.0 | 22.2 | 2.2 | 14.9 | 18 | 9 | 23 | 18 | 8 | 18 |
| Z-III ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ 0.3 \\ 85.1^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | - | 27.2 | 19.1 | 16.6 | 26.5 |  | 1.6 | 12.2 | 19 | 7 | 24 | 18 | 7 | 19 |
| $E-$ III $^{\text {d }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.1 \\ 97.5^{\text {a }} \end{gathered}$ | - | 27.3 | 19.2 | 16.9 | 26.4 | 20.7 | 1.7 | 12.3 | 19 | 8 | 24 | 19 | 8 | 19 |
| IV | $92.2^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70.6 \text { and } \\ 60.5^{\mathrm{e}} \end{gathered}$ | 26.4 | 17.5 | 20.2 | 31.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 28.9 \\ & 19.0^{f} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3 \\ & 2.0 \end{aligned}$ | 13.4 | 20 | 8 | 23 | 18 | 9 | 18 |
| $\mathbf{V}^{\text {g }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96.4^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & (5.7) \end{aligned}$ | $108.1^{\text {a }}$ | 28.2 | 13.0 | 15.8 | ~32 | 23.9 | 1.4 | 10.8 | 21 | 9 | 9 | 21 | 8 | 21 |
| VI | $\begin{aligned} & 87.2^{\mathrm{h}} \\ & 86.2^{\mathrm{h}} \end{aligned}$ | $105.2^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.4 \\ \text { or } \\ 26.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.8 \\ \text { or } \\ 12.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.8 \\ \text { or } \\ 12.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.4 \\ \text { or } \\ 26.4 \end{gathered}$ | 23.4 | 0.9 | 10.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VII ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | (6.1) | $\begin{aligned} & -37.5 \\ & 84.9^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | 28.2 | 14.5 | 13.6 | 23.4 | 22.0 | 2.5 | 17.7 | 21 | 8 | 11 | 20 | 6 | 22 |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$.
${ }^{b}$ At $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{\text {c }} J_{2^{(6)} 3} 15 \mathrm{~Hz}$.
d $J_{2^{\prime}\left(6^{\prime}\right) 3} 12 \mathrm{~Hz}$.
${ }^{e}{ }^{2} A B$-system, $J_{A B} 206 \mathrm{~Hz}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{F}^{2}$, $J_{2^{\prime}, 6}{ }^{86} \mathrm{~Hz}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{g}} J_{\mathrm{F}^{6}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}^{1}} 22, J_{\mathrm{F}^{3}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}^{2}} 28 \mathrm{~Hz}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{CF}_{2} \cdot{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{J}_{2,3} 15, J_{\mathrm{F}^{3}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}}=J_{\mathrm{F}^{2}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}} 13, J_{\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{H}} 43, J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}} 6 \mathrm{~Hz}$.
hydrogen bond $\mathrm{O}^{6 B}-\mathrm{H}^{6 B} \ldots \mathrm{O}^{6 A}$. The angle between the planes and the distance measured as average from the center of one ring to the plane of the other are equal respectively to $10.9^{\circ}$ and $3.586 \AA$, and the distance between the centers of the benzene rings is $3.853 \AA$. A similar polyfluoroaryl-polyfluoroaryl $\pi$-stacking interaction between heptafluoronaphthyl fragments with interplane distance of $3.501 \AA$ was called unprecedented in [5] for formerly only arene-arene [6] and arene-polyfluoroarene [7] interactions had been known. It should be noted that calculations for benzene [8] in the case of paralleltranslated dimer gave the interplane distance of $3.5 \AA$, the distance between the centers of the rings of 3.9
$\AA$, displacement of centers of $1.8 \AA$, and the negative energy of interaction of $2.5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

The dissimilarity in the hydroxy groups orientation originating likely from the presence of $\pi$-stacking interaction in the dimer pair resulted in lack of participation in a hydrogen bond of the hydroxy group from E-IIIA molecule whereas the hydrogen of the hydroxy group from the $E$-IIIB molecule is involved in a bond $\mathrm{O}^{6 B}-\mathrm{H}^{6 B} \ldots \mathrm{O}^{6 A}$ (distance $\mathrm{H}^{6 B} \ldots \mathrm{O}^{6 A} 2.33(5) \AA$, angle $\left.\mathrm{O}^{6 B}-\mathrm{H}^{6 B} \ldots \mathrm{O}^{6 A} 146^{\circ}\right)$.

The four-membered ring in the E-IIIA molecule is somewhat more flat than in E-IIIB one [within $\pm 0.019$ (3) and $\pm 0.045$ (3) $\AA$ respectively]. Torsional
angles $\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{C}^{l} \mathrm{C}^{7} \mathrm{C}^{8}\left[15.9(6)\right.$ and $\left.16.3(6)^{\circ}\right]$ and angles between the planes of the benzene ring and the bicyclic fragment [62.7(1) and $\left.65.4(1)^{\circ}\right]$ have close values in the molecules $E$-IIIA and $E$-IIIB respectively.

In the cyclobutene fragment the bond $\mathrm{C}^{14}-\mathrm{C}^{7}$ [1.604(6) $\AA$ in $E$-IIIA and 1.608(5) $\AA$ in $E$-IIIB] is longer than the corresponding bond in the perfluorobenzocyclobutene [9] and in benzocyclobutene [10] ( 1.574 and $1.576 \AA$ respectively), but in 1-hydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-4-isopropyl-1-[2-(2-methyl-1,3-dioxo-lan-2-yl)propan-2-yl]benzocyclobutene it is still longer $(1.659 \AA)$ [11]. At the same time the length of this bond is close to the average value [1.598(40) $\AA$ ] for 63 structures from the Cambridge Structural Database [12] containing benzocyclobutene fragment. The bond $\mathrm{C}^{8}-\mathrm{C}^{13}[1.380(5) \AA$ in $E$-IIIA and $1.371(6) \AA$ in $E$-IIIB] is somewhat shortened as compared to the published data for benzocyclobutene $(1.392 \AA) \quad[10]$ and perfluorobenzocyclobutene (1.395 $\AA$ ) [9], and also compared to the mean value of $1.390(17) \AA$. The bond lengths of the fragment $\mathrm{C}^{9}-\mathrm{C}^{10}-\mathrm{C}^{11}-\mathrm{C}^{12}[1.360(7), 1.370(8), 1.372(9) \AA$ for $E$-IIIA and $1.356(6), 1.390(6)$ and $1.363(6) \AA$ for $E-$ IIIB] are a little shorter than the corresponding bonds in the perfluorobenzocyclobutene (1.386, 1.408, $1.386 \AA$ ).

According to X-ray diffraction analysis in compound $E$-III $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ groups are located trans with respect to each other. In the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectrum of the compound the signal of fluorine attached to tertiary carbon is observed downfield ( $\delta_{\mathrm{FI}^{\prime}} 9.4 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) with respect to such atom in the $Z$-isomer ( $\delta_{\mathrm{F} I}$ $0.3 \mathrm{ppm})$. In keeping with these data $Z$-configuration was assigned to the isomer of compound II whose analogous fluorine atom appeared as a signal at $\delta_{\mathrm{F} l}$ 1.2 ppm , and to the isomer with $\delta_{\mathrm{F} l} 8.8 \mathrm{ppm}$ was assigned $E$-configuration.

In the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra of compounds $E$-II and $E$-III same as in that of perfluoro-1-phenylbenzocyclobutene [1] at room temperature the atoms $\mathrm{F}^{2,}{ }^{\prime} 6^{\prime}$ of the pentafluorophenyl group appear as one broadened signal. Unlike that in the spectra of compounds Z-III and IV from analogous fluorine atoms two broad signals are observed suggesting that the rotation of the pentafluorophenyl group is hampered in these compounds. In the spectrum of isomer Z-II registered at room temperature the signals from atoms $F^{2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}}$ of the pentafluorophenyl group are not seen, and at raising the temperature to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ they appear as one broad peak.

## EXPERIMENTAL

${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of reaction mixtures and individual compounds in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(c \leq 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were registered on Bruker WP-200SY at operating frequencies 188.3 MHz and 200 MHz using as internal references $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ or TMS respectively. IR spectrum of compound VII solution was recorded on Vector-22 instrument. Elemental composition of compounds was determined from the high resolution mass spectra taken on Finnigan MAT 8200 mass spectrometer. The GLC analyses were carried out on chromatograph LKhM-72 $\left(50-270^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4000 \times 4 \mathrm{~mm}\right.$, SKTFT50 or E-301 on Chromosorb W, 15(25): 100, He, $60 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ ). GC-MS analysis was performed on Hewlett-Packard G108A instrument comprising a gas chromatograph HP 5890 of II series and mass-selective detector HP 6971 (electron impact, 70 eV ); capillary column HP 5 ( $5 \%$ of diphenyl $-95 \%$ of dimethylsiloxane; $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). carrier gas helium, flow rate $1 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{min}{ }^{-1}$ ).

X-ray diffraction study of isomer $E$-III was performed on diffractometer Bruker P4 [Mo $K_{\alpha}$-radiation, graphite monochromator, $\theta / 2 \theta$-scanning, $2 \theta<$ $45^{\circ}$, experiment was carried out at $\left.-70(2)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$. Rhombic crystals: $a$ 14.703(1), $b$ 11.281(2), $c$ $35.575(4) \AA, \mathrm{V} 5900.6(13) \AA^{3}$, space group Pbca , $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{HF}_{13} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Z} 16, M 444.16, d_{\text {calc }} 2.000 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$, $\mu 0.237 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$. Crystal habit $0.16 \times 0.70 \times 0.72 \mathrm{~mm}$. Intensity of 3178 independent reflections was measured, and corrections were done for absorption (transmission $0.87-0.96$ ). The structure was solved by the direct method along the routine SHEXS-97 and refined by the least-squares procedure in anisotropicisotropic (for H atoms) approximation using program SHELXL-97 till $w R_{2} 0.1135$, S 1.041 for all reflections ( R 0.0400 for $2314 \mathrm{~F}_{0}>4 \sigma$ ). The position of the hydrogen in the hydroxy group was localized from the difference synthesis. The obtained coordinates of nonhydrogen atoms are available from the authors by request, the main bond lengths and bond angles are given in Table 2.

## Reaction of perfluoro-1-methylbenzocyclobutene

 (I) with pentafluorobenzene in $\mathbf{S b F}_{5}$ medium. (a) To a stirred mixture of compound $\mathbf{I}(1.95 \mathrm{~g}$, $6.5 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{SbF}_{5}(4.26 \mathrm{~g}, 19.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ $(3.5 \mathrm{ml})$ was added $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}(1.21 \mathrm{~g}, 7.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ within 5 min at $23-27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at this temperature for 4 h , then it was treated with water at $0-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, the organic layer was separated, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4} ; \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ and excess $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ were distilled off to give 2.8 g ofTable 2. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and bond angles (deg) of molecules A, B in compound $E$-III

| Angle (bond) | A | B | $\mathrm{Angle}^{2}$ | A | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\mathrm{O}^{6}-\mathrm{C}^{7}\right)$ | $1.419(5)$ | $1.400(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}^{l} \mathrm{C}^{7} \mathrm{C}^{14}$ | $113.3(4)$ | $112.2(3)$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}-\mathrm{C}^{7}\right)$ | $1.513(6)$ | $1.518(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}^{9} \mathrm{C}^{8} \mathrm{C}^{13}$ | $122.0(4)$ | $121.1(4)$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}-\mathrm{C}^{8}\right)$ | $1.512(6)$ | $1.521(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}^{9} \mathrm{C}^{8} \mathrm{C}^{7}$ | $143.2(4)$ | $144.3(4)$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}-\mathrm{C}^{14}\right)$ | $1.604(6)$ | $1.608(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}^{13} \mathrm{C}^{8} \mathrm{C}^{7}$ | $94.7(4)$ | $94.5(3)$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}-\mathrm{C}^{9}\right)$ | $1.369(6)$ | $1.366(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}^{10} \mathrm{C}^{9} \mathrm{C}^{8}$ | $117.3(5)$ | $117.5(4)$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}-\mathrm{C}^{13}\right)$ | $1.371(6)$ | $1.380(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}^{9} \mathrm{C}^{10} \mathrm{C}^{11}$ | $121.4(5)$ | $121.9(4)$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}-\mathrm{C}^{10}\right)$ | $1.360(7)$ | $1.356(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}^{10} \mathrm{C}^{11} \mathrm{C}^{12}$ | $121.4(5)$ | $120.5(4)$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}-\mathrm{C}^{11}\right)$ | $1.370(8)$ | $1.390(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}^{11} \mathrm{C}^{12} \mathrm{C}^{13}$ | $117.3(5)$ | $117.6(4)$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}^{11}-\mathrm{C}^{12}\right)$ | $1.372(9)$ | $1.363(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}^{8} \mathrm{C}^{13} \mathrm{C}^{12}$ | $120.5(5)$ | $121.3(4)$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}^{12}-\mathrm{C}^{13}\right)$ | $1.375(7)$ | $1.373(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}^{8} \mathrm{C}^{13} \mathrm{C}^{14}$ | $94.2(3)$ | $93.8(3)$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}^{13}-\mathrm{C}^{14}\right)$ | $1.489(7)$ | $1.499(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}^{12} \mathrm{C}^{13} \mathrm{C}^{14}$ | $145.0(5)$ | $144.1(4)$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}^{14}-\mathrm{C}^{15}\right)$ | $1.518(7)$ | $1.517(6)$ | $\mathrm{F}^{11} \mathrm{C}^{14} \mathrm{C}^{13}$ | $116.7(4)$ | $117.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}^{6} \mathrm{C}^{7} \mathrm{C}^{8}$ | $114.4(4)$ | $116.0(3)$ | $\mathrm{F}^{11} \mathrm{C}^{14} \mathrm{C}^{15}$ | $106.5(4)$ | $105.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}^{6} \mathrm{C}^{7} \mathrm{C}^{l}$ | $107.8(3)$ | $110.7(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}^{13} \mathrm{C}^{14} \mathrm{C}^{15}$ | $115.4(4)$ | $114.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}^{8} \mathrm{C}^{7} \mathrm{C}^{l}$ | $\mathrm{~F}^{11} \mathrm{C}^{14} \mathrm{C}^{7}$ | $114.2(3)$ | $115.2(3)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}^{6} \mathrm{C}^{7} \mathrm{C}^{14}$ | $119.1(3)$ | $118.1(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}^{13} \mathrm{C}^{14} \mathrm{C}^{7}$ | $86.5(3)$ | $86.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}^{8} \mathrm{C}^{7} \mathrm{C}^{14}$ | $116.7(3)$ | $112.9(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}^{5} \mathrm{C}^{14} \mathrm{C}^{7}$ | $116.9(4)$ | $117.4(3)$ |

mixture containing according to GLC and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data the following compounds: $6 \%$ (II) ( $Z: E \sim 1: 4$ ), $74 \%$ (III) ( $Z: E \sim 1: 1.3$ ), $8 \%$ (IV), $2 \%$ (V), and $2 \%$ (VI). The mixture was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel using as eluent $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ preliminary washed with HCl taken in 10 -fold excess (by volume). We isolated 0.4 g of a mixture of compounds $E$-II, $Z$-II, IV, $\mathbf{V}$ and VI in $\sim 2: 0.5: 4: 1: 1$ ratio according to ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data, 0.42 g of hydroxy derivative $Z$-III, 1.31 g of compounds $Z$-III and E-III mixture in $\sim 1: 1.5$ ratio ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data, and 0.39 g of hydroxy derivative $E$-III, mp $62-63^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from hexane).

Isomer Z-III. Found, \%: C 40.80; H 0.21; F 55.67. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{HF}_{13} \mathrm{O}$. Calculated, \%: C 40.56; H0.23; F 55.61. Isomer $\boldsymbol{E}$-III. Found, \%: C 40.38; H 0.31; F 55.12. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{HF}_{13} \mathrm{O}$. Calculated, \%: C 40.56; H0.23; F 55.61.

Besides by chromatography on silica gel (eluent hexane) from a mixture of compounds II, IV-VI obtained from several runs we isolated isomer $E$-II and fractions enriched with the other components.

From 0.79 g of mixture containing compounds in the following amounts: $60 \%(E-\mathbf{I I}), 25 \%(Z-\mathbf{I I})$, and $8 \%(\mathbf{V})$, was isolated 0.14 g of $E-\mathbf{I I}, 0.1 \mathrm{~g}$ of mixture containing $E$-II ( $46 \%$ ), Z-II ( $35 \%$ ), and V ( $16 \%$ ), and also several fractions ( 0.39 g ), containing compounds $E, Z-\mathbf{I I}, \mathbf{V}$ in different ratios. In a similar way from 0.62 g of a mixture composed of $11 \%$ of

E-II, $9 \%$ of Z-II, $65 \%$ of IV, $5 \%$ of $\mathbf{V}$, and $5 \%$ of VI was obtained 0.13 g of isomers mixture $E$-II ( $21 \%$ ), IV ( $42 \%$ ), VI ( $31 \%$ ), and several fractions $(0.33 \mathrm{~g})$ containing compounds $E, Z-I I$, IV-VI in different ratios. The composition of these mixtures was determined from GC-MS and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data. In the mass spectrum of compound $\mathbf{V}$ was observed the molecular ion peak $m / z 466$, and in the mass spectra of individual isomers $E$-II, Z-II, VI and in isomers mixture $E-\mathbf{I I}+\mathbf{I V}$ appear molecular ion peaks $m / z 446$.

Isomer E-II. Found: $M^{+}$445.9762. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{~F}_{14}$. Calculated: M 445.9776.

Mixture of compounds $\boldsymbol{E}, \boldsymbol{Z}$-II +V . Found $E$, $Z$-II: $M^{+}$445.9771. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{~F}_{14}$. Calculated: $M 445.9776$. Found $\quad \mathbf{V}$ : $\quad M^{+}$465.9866. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{HF}_{15}$. Calculated: M 465.9839.

Mixture of compounds $\mathbf{I E}$-II + IV + VI. Found: $M^{+}$445.9762. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{~F}_{14}$. Calculated: $M 445.9776$.
(b) To a stirred mixture of compound $\mathbf{I}(2.82 \mathrm{~g}$, $9.5 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{SbF}_{5}(6.16 \mathrm{~g}, 29.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}(5 \mathrm{ml})$ was added $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}(1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 10.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ within 0.5 h at $8-12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $23-27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h , then it was treated with anhydrous HF ( 15 ml ) and poured on ice. The reaction products were extracted into $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The organic layer was separated, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4} ; \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ and excess $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ were distilled off to give 3.8 g of mixture containing according to GLC and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data $65 \%$
of II ( $Z: E \sim 1: 3.5$ ), $19 \%$ of III ( $Z: E \sim 1: 1.3$ ), $5 \%$ of IV, and $5 \%$ of $\mathbf{V}$. By means of column chromatography on silica gel using as eluent $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ treated as indicated in procedure (a) we isolated 2.7 g of mixture of compounds II, IV, and $\mathbf{V}$, and 0.082 g of hydroxy derivatives III.

Reaction of 2-hydroxyperfluoro-1-methyl-2phenylbenzocyclobutene (III) with water solution of $\mathbf{K}_{2} \mathbf{C O}_{3}$. A solution of $0.25 \mathrm{~g}(0.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ of compound III ( $Z: E \sim 1: 1.3$ ) in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ was added to 2 g of $10 \%$ water solution of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 1.45 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the mixture was stirred for 2.5 h at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was treated with water, acidified with $5 \% \mathrm{HCl}$, extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, the organic layer was separated, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ was distilled off, and as a result 0.24 g of compound VII was obtained (yield $96 \%$ ), mp $35-37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from hexane). IR spectrum, $v, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}: 1698(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$. Found, \%: C 40.74; H0.37; F 55.31. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{HF}_{13} \mathrm{O}$. Calculated, \%: C 40.56; H 0.23; F 55.61.
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